IT Council Steering Committee (ITC) Meeting
Thursday, October 8th, 2015 – 2:00 to 3:30pm
President’s Conference Room, Main Administration

Agenda
2:00 to 2:05 – Welcome and intros
2:05 to 2:10 – Review of ITC purpose: aligning the university IT vision, priorities, & pace
2:10 to 2:15 – Discuss focus of ITC for the year and future meeting schedule
2:15 to 2:25 – Rationalize IT services across campus using the flowchart
2:25 to 3:25 – Prioritize IT risks that need attention coming out of the university’s first annual IT risk assessment; review results of 2015 IT risk assessment by (Jim Z.)
3:25 to 3:30 – Review assignments from the meeting

Attending
Eric Denna, Jim Zahniser, Jeff McKinney, Alison Robinson, Cindi Hale, Paul Dworkis, Gerry Sneeringer, Jeff Hollingsworth, Aaron Andersen, Kevin Prem, Amanda Petersen; Participating by phone: Paul Jeager

Meeting Minutes

DIT services catalog
• Now that the service catalog has been completed by each of colleges across campus, rationalizing the chart seems like a reasonable, transparent way to go through campus and let it flow.

Rationalizing DIT services
• Eric suggests we look at the flow chart and make modifications. Let’s fix logic, and then let’s start dropping IT services through this flow chart and see where it works out. Concerns?
  o The 80% figure isn’t ideal – it’s a high block. Putting down a hard number is difficult.
  o How do you bin correctly given the scope?
  o What about connectivity to other applications?
• Three end points:
  o Local service = unique
  o Distributed services = not quite for everyone, i.e., software from Science may never show up in English
  o Common good = it’s just there, i.e., heating, cooling, electricity, etc.
• DIT can provide distributed services, but the concern is transparency. Awareness is huge. Rationalization of IT services means all cards are on the table. Things will change and this process will be somewhat repetitive over time.
• All will review the flowchart and provide feedback to Eric. The issue of percentage is still a concern- that will be thought about and again, feedback will be sent to Eric.
• Additional thoughts? We need to add more points of cost analysis, address redundancy, and look at long-term planning (sun-setting).
Risk Assessment Results by Jim Zahniser

- PowerPoint presentation and handouts given.
- Updates will be given to the following recommendations:
  - 3.3 – opt in to additional authentication (we should prepare for what’s to come).
  - 7.1 – add PCI.
- What are the top 5? Let’s make sure we get to the most important things - this is revolving and ongoing.
- How do we get this in front of general leadership?
- This is about risk more than technology. It’s an insurance problem - how much are we willing to pay to get ready.
- Jim can get together with Gerry and Sean (also Alison if needed) – what is the roll of AITD & UTCC groups? Eric is happy to help if needed.